

Exactly solvable models through the generalized empty interval method: multi-species and more-than-two-site interactions

Amir Aghamohammadi¹ & Mohammad Khorrami²

Department of Physics, Alzahra University, Tehran 19938-91167, Iran.

Abstract

Multi-species reaction-diffusion systems, with more-than-two-site interaction on a one-dimensional lattice are considered. Necessary and sufficient constraints on the interaction rates are obtained, that guarantee the closedness of the time evolution equation for $E_n^a(t)$'s, the expectation value of the product of certain linear combination of the number operators on n consecutive sites at time t .

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.Ga

Keywords: reaction-diffusion, generalized empty-interval method, next-nearest-neighbor interaction, multi-species

¹mohamadi@azzahra.ac.ir

²mamwad@mailaps.org

1 Introduction

The study of the reaction-diffusion systems, has been an attractive area. A reaction-diffusion system consists of a collection of particles (of one or several species) moving and interacting with each other with specific probabilities (or rates in the case of continuous time variable). In the so called exclusion processes, any site of the lattice the particles move on, is either vacant or occupied by one particle. The aim of studying such systems, is of course to calculate the time evolution of such systems. But to find the complete time evolution of a reaction-diffusion system, is generally a very difficult (if not impossible) task.

Reaction-diffusion systems have been studied using various methods: analytical techniques, approximation methods, and simulation. The success of the approximation methods, may be different in different dimensions, as for example the mean field techniques, working good for high dimensions, generally do not give correct results for low dimensional systems. A large fraction of analytical studies, belong to low-dimensional (specially one-dimensional) systems, as solving low-dimensional systems should in principle be easier [1–14].

Various classes of reaction-diffusion systems are called exactly-solvable, in different senses. In [15–17], integrability means that the N -particle conditional probabilities' S-matrix is factorized into a product of 2-particle S-matrices. This is related to the fact that for systems solvable in this sense, there are a large number of conserved quantities. In [18–27], solvability means closedness of the evolution equation of the empty intervals (or their generalization).

The empty interval method (EIM) has been used to analyze the one dimensional dynamics of diffusion-limited coalescence [18–21]. Using this method, the probability that n consecutive sites are empty has been calculated. For the cases of finite reaction-rates, some approximate solutions have been obtained. EIM has been also generalized to study the kinetics of the q -state one-dimensional Potts model in the zero-temperature limit [22].

In [23], all the one dimensional reaction-diffusion models with nearest neighbor interactions which can be exactly solved by EIM have been studied. EIM has also been used to study a model with next nearest neighbor interaction [24]. In [25], exactly solvable models through the empty-interval method, for more-than-two-site interactions were studied. There, conditions were obtained which are sufficient for a one-species system to be solvable through the EIM. In [26], the conventional EIM has been extended to a more generalized form. Using this extended version, a model has been studied, which can not be solved by conventional EIM.

In a recent article [27], we considered nearest-neighbor multi-species models on a one-dimensional lattice. we obtained necessary and sufficient conditions on the reaction rates, so that the time evolution equation for $E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}(t)$ is closed. Here $E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}(t)$ is the expectation of the product of a specific linear combination of the number operators (corresponding to different species) at n consecutive sites beginning from the k -th site. All single-species left-right symmetric reaction-diffusion systems solvable through the generalized empty-interval method (GEIM), were classified. In this article, the method introduced in [27]

is generalized to more than two-site interactions.

In section 2, multi-species systems with three-site interactions are investigated, which are solvable through the GEIM. Conditions necessary and sufficient for closedness of the evolution equation of $E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}(t)$ are obtained, and the evolution equation is explicitly given.

In section 3, the more general case of multi-species systems with k -site interactions, solvable through the GEIM, is investigated. Again, conditions necessary and sufficient for closedness of the evolution equation of $E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}(t)$ as well as the evolution equation itself, are obtained.

Finally, in section 4, as an example a specific model with a three-site interaction is introduced and exactly solved.

2 Three-site interactions

Consider a periodic lattice with $L+1$ sites. Each site is either empty, or occupied with a particle of one of p possible species. Denote by N_i^α , the number operator of the particles of type α at the site i . $\alpha = p+1$ is regarded as a vacancy. N_i^α is equal to one, if the site i is occupied by a particle of type α . Otherwise, N_i^α is zero. We also have a constraint

$$s_\alpha N_i^\alpha = 1, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{s}$ is a covector the components of which (s_α 's) are all equal to one. The constraint (1), simply says that every site, either is occupied by a particle of one type, or is empty. A representation for these observables is

$$N_i^\alpha := \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{i-1} \otimes N^\alpha \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{L+1-i}, \quad (2)$$

where N^α is a diagonal $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ matrix the only nonzero element of which is the α 'th diagonal element, and the operators 1 in the above expression are also $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ matrices. It is seen that the constraint (1) can be written as

$$\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{N} = 1, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{N}$ is a vector the components of which are N^α 's. The state of the system is characterized by a vector

$$\mathbf{P} \in \underbrace{\mathbb{V} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{V}}_{L+1}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbb{V}$ is a $(p+1)$ -dimensional vector space. All the elements of the vector $\mathbf{P}$ are nonnegative, and

$$\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{P} = 1. \quad (5)$$

Here $\mathbf{S}$ is the tensor-product of $L+1$ covectors $\mathbf{s}$.

As the number operators N_i^α are zero or one (and hence idempotent), the most general observable of such a system is the product of some of these number operators, or a sum of such terms.

The evolution of the state of the system is given by

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}} = \mathcal{H} \mathbf{P}, \quad (6)$$

where the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is stochastic, by which it is meant that its non-diagonal elements are nonnegative and

$$\mathbf{S} \mathcal{H} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The interaction is a next-nearest-neighbor interaction:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L+1} H_{i,i+1,i+2}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$H_{i,i+1,i+2} := \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{i-1} \otimes H \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{L-i-1}. \quad (9)$$

(It has been assumed that the sites of the system are identical, that is, the system is translation-invariant. Otherwise H in the right-hand side of (9) would depend on i .) The three-site Hamiltonian H is stochastic, that is, its non-diagonal elements are nonnegative, and the sum of the elements of each of its columns vanishes:

$$(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s})H = 0. \quad (10)$$

Now consider a certain class of observables, namely

$$\mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} := \prod_{l=k}^{k+n-1} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{N}_l), \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ is a specific $(p+1)$ -dimensional covector, and $\mathbf{N}_i$ is a vector the components of which are the operators N_i^α . We want to find criteria for H , so that the evolutions of the expectations of $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}$'s are closed, that is, the time-derivative of their expectation is expressible in terms of the expectations of $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}$'s themselves. Denoting the expectations of these observables by $E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}$,

$$E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} := \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{P}. \quad (12)$$

For $1 < n < L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} &= \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathcal{H} \mathbf{P}, \\
&= \sum_{l=-1}^n \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} H_{k+l-1,k+l,k+l+1} \mathbf{P} \\
&= \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} H_{k-2,k-1,k} \mathbf{P} \\
&\quad + \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} H_{k-1,k,k+1} \mathbf{P} \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} H_{k-1+l,k+l,k+l+1} \mathbf{P} \\
&\quad + \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} H_{k+n-2,k+n-1,k+n} \mathbf{P} \\
&\quad + \mathbf{S} \mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} H_{k+n-1,k+n,k+n+1} \mathbf{P}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In the right-hand side of the last equality, the first two and the last two terms are contributions of the interactions at the boundaries of the block, while the summation term is the contribution of the sites in the bulk.

To proceed, one may use the following identity

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{N}) = \mathbf{b}, \tag{14}$$

using which, one arrives at

$$(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s})[(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{N}) \otimes (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{N}) \otimes (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{N})H] = (\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a})H. \tag{15}$$

Demanding that the bulk terms in (13) be expressible in terms of the expectations of $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}$'s themselves, one arrives at the following condition for the bulk terms.

$$(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a})H = \lambda(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}), \tag{16}$$

for some constant λ . By similar arguments, the condition coming from the left boundary terms of (13) can be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a})[(1 \otimes H) + (H \otimes 1)] &= \\
&\mu_L \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} + \nu_L \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} + \gamma_L \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \\
&\quad + \delta_L \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} + \phi_L \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a},
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and finally, the condition coming from the right boundary terms of (13) is

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s})[(1 \otimes H) + (H \otimes 1)] &= \\
&\mu_R \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} + \nu_R \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} + \gamma_R \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \\
&\quad + \delta_R \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} + \phi_R \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a},
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the multipliers in the right-hand sides of (17) and (18) are constants. Arranging all these together, the evolution equation for $E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}$, for $1 < n < L$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} = & \mu_L E_{k+2,n-2}^{\mathbf{a}} + \mu_R E_{k,n-2}^{\mathbf{a}} + \nu_L E_{k+1,n-1}^{\mathbf{a}} + \nu_R E_{k,n-1}^{\mathbf{a}} \\ & + [\gamma_L + (n-2)\lambda + \gamma_R] E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}} \\ & + \delta_L E_{k-1,n+1}^{\mathbf{a}} + \delta_R E_{k,n+1}^{\mathbf{a}} + \phi_L E_{k-2,n+2}^{\mathbf{a}} + \phi_R E_{k,n+2}^{\mathbf{a}}.\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

In general, even if the initial conditions are not translationally invariant, one can solve the above equation. However, assuming that the initial conditions are translationally invariant, simplifies the calculations. Assuming that the initial conditions are translationally invariant, as the dynamics is also translationally invariant, $E_{k,n}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is independent of k , and so one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}_n^{\mathbf{a}} = & (\mu_L + \mu_R) E_{n-2}^{\mathbf{a}} + (\nu_L + \nu_R) E_{n-1}^{\mathbf{a}} + [\gamma_L + (n-2)\lambda + \gamma_R] E_n^{\mathbf{a}} \\ & + (\delta_L + \delta_R) E_{n+1}^{\mathbf{a}} + (\phi_L + \phi_R) E_{n+2}^{\mathbf{a}}.\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

The cases of zero-, one-, L -, and $(L+1)$ -point functions should be considered separately. The zero-point function is equal to one. In the case of the one-point function, one arrives at an additional condition

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s})[(1 \otimes 1 \otimes H) + (1 \otimes H \otimes 1) + (H \otimes 1 \otimes 1)] = \\ \mu_0(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) + \mu_4(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) \\ + \mu_8(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) + \mu_{16}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) \\ + \mu_{12}(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) + \mu_{24}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) \\ + \mu_{28}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) + \mu_2(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s}) \\ + \mu_6(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s}) + \mu_{14}(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s}) \\ + \mu_7(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) + \mu_3(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) \\ + \mu_1(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a}).\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

Then the evolution equation for $E_1^{\mathbf{a}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}_1^{\mathbf{a}} = & (\mu_7 + \mu_{14} + \mu_{28}) E_3^{\mathbf{a}} + (\mu_3 + \mu_6 + \mu_{12} + \mu_{24}) E_2^{\mathbf{a}} \\ & + (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + \mu_8 + \mu_{16}) E_1^{\mathbf{a}} + \mu_0.\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

In the case $n = L$, one arrives at the following additional condition

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a})[(1 \otimes 1 \otimes H) + (1 \otimes H \otimes 1) + (H \otimes 1 \otimes 1)] = \\ \nu_{31}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) + \nu_{27}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) \\ + \nu_{23}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) + \nu_{15}(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) \\ + \nu_{19}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) + \nu_7(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) \\ + \nu_3(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}) + \nu_{29}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a}) \\ + \nu_{25}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a}) + \nu_{17}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{a}) \\ + \nu_{24}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) + \nu_{28}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) \\ + \nu_{30}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{s}).\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

Then the evolution equation for $E_L^{\mathbf{a}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}_L^{\mathbf{a}} = & (\nu_3 + \nu_{17} + \nu_{24})E_{L-2}^{\mathbf{a}} + (\nu_7 + \nu_{19} + \nu_{25} + \nu_{28})E_{L-1}^{\mathbf{a}} \\ & + (\nu_{15} + \nu_{23} + \nu_{27} + \nu_{29} + \nu_{30})E_L^{\mathbf{a}} + \nu_{31}E_{L+1}^{\mathbf{a}}.\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

The evolution equation for the case $n = L + 1$ is simply

$$\dot{E}_{L+1}^{\mathbf{a}} = \lambda(L + 1)E_{L+1}^{\mathbf{a}}. \quad (25)$$

3 k -site interactions

Now, let's go further and consider the more general case of k -site interactions. The problem of single-species k -site interactions solvable in the framework of empty interval method was addressed in [25]. In that article necessary conditions for a system to be solvable through the EIM was obtained. Here we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for a system to be solvable through the GEIM. To fix notation, let's introduce

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^{ijl} &:= \mathbf{s}^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathbf{a}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathbf{s}^{\otimes l}, \\ \mathcal{B}^{ijl} &:= \mathbf{a}^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathbf{s}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathbf{a}^{\otimes l},\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}^{\otimes i} := \underbrace{\mathbf{b} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b}}_i. \quad (27)$$

First consider the bulk terms in the time derivative of $\mathcal{E}_n^{\mathbf{a}}$'s. Demanding that the bulk terms can be expressed in terms of the expectations of $\mathcal{E}_n^{\mathbf{a}}$'s, one arrives at the following condition for the bulk terms.

$$\mathcal{A}^{0k0}H = \lambda\mathcal{A}^{0k0}. \quad (28)$$

The condition coming from the left boundary of the block is

$$\mathcal{A}^{k-1,k-1,0}[\mathbf{1}^{\otimes k-2} \otimes H + \cdots + H \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes k-2}] = \sum_{\substack{m,l \\ m+l=2k-2}} C_{ml0}^L \mathcal{A}^{ml0}, \quad (29)$$

while the condition coming from the right boundary of the block

$$\mathcal{A}^{0,k-1,k-1}[\mathbf{1}^{\otimes k-2} \otimes H + \cdots + H \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes k-2}] = \sum_{\substack{m,l \\ m+l=2k-2}} C_{0lm}^R \mathcal{A}^{0lm}. \quad (30)$$

For the blocks of the length j with $j < k - 1$, one needs the condition

$$\mathcal{A}^{k-1,j,k-1}[\mathbf{1}^{\otimes j+k-2} \otimes H + \cdots + H \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes j+k-2}] = \sum_{\substack{m,p,l \\ m+p+l=j+2k-2}} C_{mpl} \mathcal{A}^{mpl}. \quad (31)$$

Finally for the blocks of the length $(L + 1 - j)$ with $j < k - 1$, one needs the condition

$$\mathcal{B}^{k-1,j,k-1}[\mathbf{1}^{\otimes j+k-2} \otimes H + \dots + H \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes j+k-2}] = \sum_{\substack{m,p,l \\ m+p+l=j+2k-2}} D_{mpl} \mathcal{B}^{mpl}. \quad (32)$$

Now it is easy to write the evolution equation of $E_n^{\mathbf{a}}$. For $k - 1 \leq n \leq L + 2 - k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{E}_n^{\mathbf{a}} = (n - k + 1)\lambda E_n^{\mathbf{a}} &+ \sum_{l=0}^{2k-2} E_{l-k+1+n}^{\mathbf{a}} C_{2k-2-l,l,0}^L \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{2k-2} E_{l-k+1+n}^{\mathbf{a}} C_{0,l,2k-2-l}^R, \quad k - 1 \leq n \leq L + 2 - k. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

For $0 < n < k - 1$,

$$\dot{E}_n^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{p=0}^{n+2k-2} \sum_{m=0}^{n+2k-2-p} C_{m,p,n+2k-2-p-m} E_p^{\mathbf{a}}, \quad 0 < n < k - 1. \quad (34)$$

Finally, for $L + 2 - k < n < L + 1$,

$$\dot{E}_n^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{p=0}^{n+2k-2} \sum_{m=0}^{n+2k-2-p} D_{m,p,n+2k-2-p-m} E_{L+1-p}^{\mathbf{a}}, \quad L + 2 - k < n < L + 1. \quad (35)$$

One also has,

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^{\mathbf{a}} &= 1, \\ \dot{E}_{L+1}^{\mathbf{a}} &= (L + 1)\lambda E_{L+1}^{\mathbf{a}}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Equations (33) to (36) can be solved to obtain a certain set of correlation functions ($E_n^{\mathbf{a}}$'s).

4 A three-site model with annihilation, as an example

Here, a model with three-site interactions is considered. Each site may be occupied or be a vacancy. A particle at each site may be annihilated, and the annihilation rate depends on its neighboring sites. The interactions are

$$\begin{aligned} AAA &\rightarrow A\emptyset A && \text{with the rate } \Lambda_1, \\ AA\emptyset &\rightarrow A\emptyset\emptyset && \text{with the rate } \Lambda_2, \\ \emptyset AA &\rightarrow \emptyset\emptyset A && \text{with the rate } \Lambda_3, \\ \emptyset A\emptyset &\rightarrow \emptyset\emptyset\emptyset && \text{with the rate } \Lambda_4. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

(A and $\emptyset$ denote an occupied site and an empty site, respectively.) Choosing $\mathbf{a} = (1 \ 0)$, it is seen that this model fulfills the conditions needed for solvability. This means that the evolution equations for E_n 's with $E_n = \langle N_1 \cdots N_n \rangle$ are closed. Using the method introduced in previous sections, one can obtain E_n 's. The evolution equation for E_n 's are

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}_n &= -[(n-2)\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3]E_n + (\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 - 2\Lambda_1)E_{n+1}, \quad 2 \leq n \leq L-1 \\ \dot{E}_1 &= -\Lambda_4 E_1 - (\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 - 2\Lambda_4)E_2 + (\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 - \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_4)E_3, \\ \dot{E}_L &= -(\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3)E_L + (\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 - 2\Lambda_1)E_{L+1} \\ \dot{E}_{L+1} &= -(L+1)\Lambda_1 E_L\end{aligned}\tag{38}$$

To simplify the model, assume $\Lambda_1 = 0$. This means that a block of particles, can only be altered at the boundaries. Defining

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &:= \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3, \\ \beta &:= \Lambda_4,\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

(38) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}_n &= \alpha(E_{n+1} - E_n), \quad 2 \leq n \leq L \\ \dot{E}_1 &= \beta(E_2 - E_1) + (\alpha - \beta)(E_3 - E_2), \\ \dot{E}_{L+1} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

There are two cases.

i) $\alpha = 0$. In this case, all the E_n 's for $n \neq 1$ are constant and

$$E_1(t) = e^{-\beta t}[E_1(0) - 2E_2(0) + E_3(0)] + 2E_2(0) - E_3(0).\tag{41}$$

ii) $\alpha \neq 0$. In this case, E_{L+1} is constant. Using this, one can obtain E_L , and then E_{L-1} , ..., and E_2 . The result is

$$E_{L-k} = E_{L+1} + \left[\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\gamma_{L-k+j}}{j!} (\alpha t)^j \right] e^{-\alpha t},\tag{42}$$

where γ_k 's are arbitrary constants to be determined from the initial conditions. E_1 is also easily determined from the second equation of (40).

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank M. Kaboutari, for useful discussions.

References

- [1] G. M. Schütz; “Exactly solvable models for many-body systems far from equilibrium” in “Phase transitions and critical phenomena, vol. **19**”, C. Domb & J. Lebowitz (eds.), (Academic Press, London, 2000).
- [2] F. C. Alcaraz, M. Droz, M. Henkel, & V. Rittenberg; *Ann. Phys.* **230** (1994) 250.
- [3] K. Krebs, M. P. Pfannmuller, B. Wehefritz, & H. Hinrichsen; *J. Stat. Phys.* **78**[FS] (1995) 1429.
- [4] H. Simon; *J. Phys.* **A28** (1995) 6585.
- [5] V. Privman, A. M. R. Cadilhe, & M. L. Glasser; *J. Stat. Phys.* **81** (1995) 881.
- [6] M. Henkel, E. Orlandini, & G. M. Schütz; *J. Phys.* **A28** (1995) 6335.
- [7] M. Henkel, E. Orlandini, & J. Santos; *Ann. of Phys.* **259** (1997) 163.
- [8] A. A. Lushnikov; *Sov. Phys. JETP* **64** (1986) 811 [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **91** (1986) 1376].
- [9] M. Alimohammadi, V. Karimipour, & M. Khorrami; *Phys. Rev.* **E57** (1998) 6370.
- [10] F. Roshani & M. Khorrami; *Phys. Rev.* **E60** (1999) 3393.
- [11] F. Roshani & M. Khorrami; *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 2627.
- [12] M. Alimohammadi, V. Karimipour, & M. Khorrami; *J. Stat. Phys.* **97** (1999) 373.
- [13] A. Aghamohammadi, A. H. Fatollahi, M. Khorrami, & A. Shariati; *Phys. Rev.* **E62** (2000) 4642.
- [14] A. Aghamohammadi & M. Khorrami; *J. Phys.* **A33** (2000) 7843.
- [15] M. Alimohammadi, & N. Ahmadi; *Phys. Rev.* **62** (2000) 1674.
- [16] F. Roshani & M. Khorrami; *Phys. Rev.* **E64** (2001) 011101.
- [17] F. Roshani & M. Khorrami; *Eur. Phys. J.* **B36** (2003) 99.
- [18] M. A. Burschka, C. R. Doering, & D. ben-Avraham; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** (1989) 700.
- [19] D. ben-Avraham; *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **B9** (1995) 895.
- [20] D. ben-Avraham; in “Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics in One Dimension”, V. Privman (ed.), pp 29-50 (Cambridge University press,1997).

- [21] D. ben-Avraham; Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 4756.
- [22] T. Masser, D. ben-Avraham; Phys. Lett. **A275** (2000) 382.
- [23] M. Alimohammadi, M. Khorrami, & A. Aghamohammadi; Phys. Rev. **E64** (2001) 056116.
- [24] M. Henkel & H. Hinrichsen; J. Phys. **A34**, 1561 (2001).
- [25] M. Khorrami, A. Aghamohammadi, & M. Alimohammadi; J. Phys. **A36** (2003) 345.
- [26] M. Mobilia & P. A. Bares; Phys. Rev. **E64** (2001) 066123.
- [27] A. Aghamohammadi, M. Alimohammadi, & M. Khorrami; Eur. Phys. J. **B31** (2003) 371.